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#### Abstract

A parity subgraph of a graph is a spanning subgraph such that the degrees of all vertices have the same parity in both the subgraph and the original graph. Let $G$ be a cyclically 6 -edge-connected cubic graph. Steffen (Intersecting 1 -factors and nowhere-zero 5-flows $1306.5645,2013$ ) proved that $G$ has a nowhere-zero 5-flow if $G$ has two perfect matchings with at most two intersections. In this paper, we show that $G$ has a nowhere-zero 5-flow if $G$ has two parity subgraphs with at most two common edges, which generalizes Steffen's result.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph. The set of neighbors of a vertex $v$ in $G$ is denoted by $N_{G}(v)$. The degree $d_{G}(v)$ of a vertex $v$ is the number of edges incident with $v$. An $r$-regular graph is a graph with each vertex having degree $r$. A circuit in $G$ is a 2-regular connected graph. An $r$-factor of $G$ is a spanning $r$-regular subgraph of $G$. A perfect matching of $G$ is a 1 -factor of $G$. A subgraph $P$ of $G$ is a parity subgraph if $d_{P}(v) \equiv d_{G}(v)$ $(\bmod 2)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. An even subgraph $H$ of $G$ is a spanning subgraph with the property that $d_{H}(v) \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ for each $v \in V(G)$. So a subgraph $P$ is a parity subgraph of $G$ if and only if $G-P$ is an even subgraph of $G$. The oddness of $G$, denoted by $o(G)$, is the minimum number of odd components in a spanning even subgraph of $G$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $\partial_{G}(S)$ be the set of edges with one end in $S$ and
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another in $V(G) \backslash S$. If $S$ is a proper subset of $V(G)$, then $\partial_{G}(S)$ is an edge-cut of $G$. A bridge is an edge-cut of order one. A cyclic edge-cut $F$ of $G$ is an edge-cut of $G$ such that two components of $G-F$ contain circuits. The cyclic edge-connectivity of $G$, denoted by $n_{G}^{*}$, is the minimum cardinality of a cyclic edge-cut of $G$. We call $G$ is cyclically $k$-edge-connected if $n_{G}^{*}=k$.

Let $k$ be a positive integer and let $D(G)$ be an orientation graph of a graph $G$. Let $\varphi$ be a function from the set of directed edges of $D(G)$ into the set $\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$ let

$$
\delta_{\varphi}(S)=\sum_{e \in \partial^{+}(S)} \varphi(e)-\sum_{e \in \partial^{-}(S)} \varphi(e) .
$$

The function $\varphi$ is a $k$-flow on $G$ if $\delta_{\varphi}(S)=0$ for every $S \subseteq V(G)$. The support of $\varphi$ is the set $\{e \in E(G) \mid \varphi(e) \neq 0\}$, and it is denoted by $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$. A $k$-flow $\varphi$ is an integer nowhere-zero $k$-flow (NWZ $k$-flow for short) on $G$ if $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)=E(G)$. (For more flexible definitions, see e.g. [11]).

In 1954, Tutte proposed the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1.1 (5-Flow conjecture) Every bridgeless graph has a nowhere-zero 5flow.

This is one of the famous Tutte's integer flow conjectures still open.
Tutte also proposed a weaker conjecture that there exists an integer $k \geq 5$ such that every bridgeless graph has a NWZ $k$-flow. This was proved by Jaeger [5,6] (also independently by Kilpatrick [8]) for $k=8$ (known as 8 -flow theorem). This result was improved by Seymour [10] to $k=6$ (known as 6 -flow theorem).

Kochol [9] proved that a minimum counterexample to the 5 -flow conjecture is a cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graph. Hence it suffices to prove 5-Flow Conjecture for these graphs. Jaeger [7] proved that every bridgeless cubic graph with a 2-factor having 0 or 2 odd components has a NWZ 5-flow. Steffen [13] improved Jaeger's result as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.2 in [13]) Every cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graph with two perfect matchings having at most two intersections has a NWZ 5-flow.

Clearly, for cubic graphs, a perfect matching is a parity subgraph, but not vice versa. For example, Fig. 1(2) and (3) give two parity subgraphs of Petersen graph with one common edge and two common edges, respectively.

In this paper, we generalize Steffen's result to parity subgraphs with few common edges. The main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3 Let $G$ be a cyclically 6-edge-connected cubic graph and let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be two parity subgraphs of $G$. If $\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right| \leq 2$, then $G$ has a NWZ 5-flow.

## 2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 If $G$ is a bridgeless cubic graph and $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are two parity subgraphs of $G$, then $o(G) \leq 2\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right|$.


Fig. 1 Petersen graph and its parity subgraphs, where the two parity subgraphs are colored by red and blue, respectively, and the intersections are bicolored

Proof Let $\overline{P_{1}}=G-P_{1}$. Then $\overline{P_{1}}$ is an even subgraph. Let $C$ be an odd component of $\overline{P_{1}}$. Then $\partial_{G}(V(C)) \subseteq P_{1}$. Since $P_{2}$ is a parity subgraph of $G,\left|\partial_{G}(V(C)) \cap P_{2}\right| \equiv$ $\left|\partial_{G}(V(C))\right| \bmod 2$. That is $\partial_{G}(V(C)) \cap P_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Since one edge in $P_{1} \cap P_{2}$ appears in at most two of $\partial_{G}(V(C))$ and $C$ is an odd component of $\overline{P_{1}}, o(G) \leq 2\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right|$.

Let $A$ be an Abelian group with additive notation. A nowhere-zero $A$-flow (NWZ $A$-flow for short) on $G$ is an assignment of a direction and a value of $A \backslash\{0\}$ to each edge of $G$ such that the sum of the values of outgoing edges is equal to the sum of the values of ingoing edges at every vertex of $G$.

A graph $G$ is called an $F_{k}$-graph (for $k \geq 2$ ) (defined in $[6,7]$ ) if it satisfies the following equivalent properties:
(a) for some additive group $A$ of order $k, G$ has a nowhere-zero $A$-flow;
(b) for every additive group $A$ of order $k, G$ has a nowhere-zero $A$-flow;
(c) $G$ has a nowhere-zero $k$-flow.

A graph $G$ is called a nearly $F_{4}$-graph if it is possible to add a new edge to $G$ in order to obtain an $F_{4}$-graph (defined in [7]).

The following two lemmas can be found in [7] or [3].
Lemma 2.2 (Theorem 3.6 in [7], or Theorem 4.5 in [3]) A bridgeless cubic graph $G$ admits a NWZ 4-flow if and only if $G$ is 3-edge-colorable.

Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 8.1 in [7]) Every bridgeless nearly $F_{4}$-graph is an $F_{5}$-graph.
Jaeger [7] proved that bridgeless cubic graph with a 2 -factor having 0 or 2 odd components is nearly $F_{4}$-graph and so is an $F_{5}$-graph. The following lemma is an analogous result.

Lemma 2.4 If $G$ is a bridgeless cubic graph with an even subgraph having 0 or 2 odd components, then G has a NWZ 5-flow.

Proof By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that $G$ is a nearly $F_{4}$-graph. If the even subgraph has no odd components, then $G$ is 3-edge colorable and hence a $F_{4}$-graph by Lemma 2.2. If the even subgraph has two odd components, say $C$ and $C^{\prime}\left(C\right.$ and $C^{\prime}$ may be isolated vertices), then its edges can be colored with colors $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ in such a way that each vertex, with exceptions of two vertices $v \in V(C)$ and $v^{\prime} \in V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$,
is bicolored; if we now join $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ by a new edge and color all the uncolored edges by $(1,1)$, then the resulting coloring of edges defines a $N W Z Z_{2}^{2}$-flow.

An orientation $D$ of $G$ is an assignment of a direction to each edge. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $\partial_{G}^{+}(S)$ (resp. $\partial_{G}^{-}(S)$ ) be the set of outgoing (resp. ingoing) edges of $\partial_{G}(S)$. The oriented graph is denoted by $D(G), d_{D(G)}^{-}(v)=\left|\partial_{G}^{-}(\{v\})\right|$ and $d_{D(G)}^{+}(v)=\left|\partial_{G}^{+}(\{v\})\right|$ denote the indegree and outdegree of vertex $v$ in $D(G)$, respectively.

We will use balanced valuations of graphs, which were introduced by Bondy [1] and Jaeger [4]. A balanced valuation of a graph $G$ is a function $f$ from the vertex set $V(G)$ into the real numbers, such that for all $X \subseteq V(G):\left|\sum_{v \in X} f(v)\right| \leq\left|\partial_{G}(X)\right|$. The following fundamental theorem is given by Jaeger.

Theorem 2.5 (Jaeger [4]) Let $G$ be a graph with orientation $D$ and $k \geq 3$. Then $G$ has a NWZ k-flow if and only if there is a balanced valuation $f$ of $G$ with $f(v)=$ $\frac{k}{k-2}\left(2 d_{D(G)}^{+}(v)-d_{G}(v)\right)$, for all $v \in V(G)$.

In particular, Theorem 2.5 says that a cubic graph $G$ has a NWZ 4-flow (resp. NWZ 5 -flow) if and only if there is a balanced valuation of $G$ with values in $\{ \pm 2\}$ (resp. $\left\{ \pm \frac{5}{3}\right\}$ ).

If we describe a flow which relies on a specific orientation $D$ of the edges of $G$, then we also write $(D, \varphi)$. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\left(D_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ be flows on $G$. The sum $\left(D_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)+\left(D_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ is the flow $(D, \varphi)$ on $G$ with orientation

$$
D=\left.\left.D_{1}\right|_{\left\{e: \varphi_{1}(e) \geq \varphi_{2}(e)\right\}} \cup D_{2}\right|_{\left\{e: \varphi_{2}(e)>\varphi_{1}(e)\right\}},
$$

and with flow value

$$
\varphi(e)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{1}(e)+\varphi_{2}(e), & \text { if } e \text { received the same direction in } D_{1} \text { and } D_{2} \\ \left|\varphi_{1}(e)-\varphi_{2}(e)\right|, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Let $G$ be a cubic 3-edge-colorable graph and let $c$ be a 3-edge-coloring of $G$. A canonical NWZ 4-flow of $G$ with respect to $c$ is defined as follows (Steffen [13]): For $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$, let $H_{i, j}$ be the 2-factor of $G$ induced by the edges $c^{-1}(i) \cup c^{-1}(j)$. Let $\varphi_{1,2}$ be the flow on the directed circuits of $H_{1,2}$ with $\varphi_{1,2}(e)=1$ for all $e \in E\left(H_{1,2}\right)$, and let $\varphi_{2,3}$ be the flow on the directed circuits of $H_{2,3}$ with $\varphi_{2,3}(e)=2$ for all $e \in E\left(H_{2,3}\right)$. Then $\varphi=\varphi_{1,2}+\varphi_{2,3}$ is a NWZ 4-flow on $G$. Note that the edges of $c^{-1}(1)$ have flow value 1 , the edges of $c^{-1}(2)$ have flow value 1 or 3 , and the edges of $c^{-1}(3)$ have flow value 2. The circuits of $H_{2,3}$ are directed circuits in $D(G)$.

By the construction of the canonical NWZ 4-flow $\varphi, \varphi$ induces a balanced valuation $f$ of $G$ with $f(v)=2\left(2 d_{D(G)}^{+}(v)-d_{G}(v)\right) \in\{ \pm 2\}$ for all $v \in V$. Let $A=\{v \mid f(v)=$ $-2\}$ and $B=\{v \mid f(v)=2\}$. Then $A$ and $B$ forms a partition of $V(G)$. A balanced valuation which is induced by a canonical NWZ 4-flow is called a canonical balanced valuation on $G$.

By the construction of canonical NWZ 4-flow, we have the following observation.

Observation 2.6 Let c be a 3-edge-coloring of a cubic graph $G$, and let $A, B$ be a partition of $V(G)$ which is induced by a canonical NWZ 4-flow with respect to c. If $e=x y \in c^{-1}(1) \cup c^{-1}(2)$, then the following holds.
(i) ([13]) The two ends of e belong to different classes, i.e. $x \in A$ if and only if $y \in B$.
(ii) $e \in c^{-1}(1)$ and $x \in A$ if and only if $e$ is orientated from $y$ to $x$.

Let $G[S]$ be the subgraph induced by the set $S$ of vertices in a graph $G$.
Lemma 2.7 Let $G$ be a cubic graph and $T \subseteq V(G)$. If $|T|>\left|\partial_{G}(T)\right|-2$, then $G[T]$ contains circuit.

Proof Since $|E(G[T])|=\frac{1}{2}\left(3|T|-\left|\partial_{G}(T)\right|\right)=|T|-1+\frac{1}{2}\left(|T|+2-\left|\partial_{G}(T)\right|\right)$ and $|T|>\left|\partial_{G}(T)\right|-2,|E(G[T])|>|T|-1$. Hence $G[T]$ is not a tree.

Lemma 2.8 (Menger's theorem (directed vertex-disjoint version)) Let $D=(V, A)$ be a digraph and let $S, T \subseteq V$. Then the maximum number of vertex-disjoint directed paths from $S$ to $T$ is equal to the minimum size of vertex set separating $S$ from $T$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The first step, we claim that if $\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right| \leq 1$, then $G$ admits a NWZ 5-flow.
Claim 1 If $\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right| \leq 1$, then $G$ has a NWZ 5-flow.
Proof By Lemma 2.1, $o(G) \leq 2\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right| \leq 2$. The result follows directly from Lemma 2.4.

Now, it suffices to show that $G$ has a NWZ 5-flow if $G$ is cyclically 6-edge-connected and $\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right|=2$.

Again by Lemma 2.4, we have
Claim 2 If $\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right|=2$ and $o(G)=2$, then $G$ has a NWZ 5-flow.
Now we consider the case that $\left|P_{1} \cap P_{2}\right|=2$ and $o(G)=4$. Let $P_{1} \cap P_{2}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and let $e_{i}=v_{i} w_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Recall that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are parity subgraphs of the cubic graph $G$. For each vertex $v \in V(G), d_{P_{i}}(v)=1$ or $3, i=1,2$ and $P_{1} \Delta P_{2}$ is an even subgraph of $G$, where $P_{1} \triangle P_{2}$ denote the symmetric difference of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, i.e. the subgraph of $G$ induced by the edge set $\left[E\left(P_{1}\right) \cup E\left(P_{2}\right)\right]-\left[E\left(P_{1}\right) \cap E\left(P_{2}\right)\right]$.

Claim 3 G has an even subgraph $H$ having precisely four odd components with the property that at most one component is an isolated vertex, and each component contains precisely one vertex of $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ (see Fig. 2).

Proof If one of $P_{1}, P_{2}$, say $P_{1}$, has at most one vertex of degree three, then $H=G-P_{1}$ is an even subgraph of $G$ as desired.

Otherwise, both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ have precisely two vertices of degree 3, then $P=$ $P_{1} \triangle P_{2}$, is a 2-factor of $G$ with precisely four odd circuits, as desired.

Fig. 2 The even subgraph $H$



Let $C_{x}$ be the odd component of $H$ containing $x$ for $x \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}, w_{1}\right\}$, where $C_{w_{1}}$ is either an odd circuit or an isolated vertex.

Let $G^{\prime}$ be the suppressed graph obtained from $G-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ by suppressing the four degree 2 vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}$, denote the new edge by suppressing $x$ by $e_{x}$ in $G^{\prime}$ for $x \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then $H^{\prime}$, the subgraph of $G^{\prime}$ corresponding to $H$, is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}$ having no odd component and so $G^{\prime}$ is a 3-edge-colorable cubic graph.

Let $c^{\prime}$ be a 3-edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ such that the edges of $H^{\prime}$ are colored with colors 2 and 3 such that $e_{v_{1}}, e_{v_{2}}$ and $e_{w_{2}}$ are colored with color 3 , and $e_{w_{1}}$ are colored with color 3 if $e_{w_{1}}$ is an edge in a circuit of $H^{\prime}$, with color 1 if $e_{w_{1}} \notin H^{\prime}$. Let $\varphi^{\prime}$ be the canonical NWZ 4-flow with respect to $c^{\prime}$, and let $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$ be the partition of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the canonical balanced valuation, say $f^{\prime}$, on $G^{\prime}$ induced by $\varphi^{\prime}$. Note that subdividing an edge does not change flow properties of graphs. Hence $\varphi^{\prime}$ induces a NWZ 4-flow $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$ on $G-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Set $A=A^{\prime} \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $B=B^{\prime} \cup\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then $A$ and $B$ is a partition of $V(G)$. Define a map $f$ from $V(G)$ to $\left\{ \pm \frac{5}{3}\right\}$ with $f(v)=\frac{5}{3}$ if $v \in A$ and $f(v)=-\frac{5}{3}$ if $v \in B$.

In the following we claim that $f$ is a balanced valuation on $G$ and hence $G$ has a NWZ 5-flow by Theorem 2.5.

Suppose to the contrary that $G$ does not have a NWZ 5-flow. Then $f$ is not a balanced valuation. Hence there is a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $\left|\sum_{v \in S} f(v)\right|>\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|$. Let $k=\|S \cap A|-| S \cap B\|$. Then, $\frac{5}{3} k>\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|$.

Claim $4\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|=6$ and $k=4$.
Proof Note that $\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|=3|S|-2|E(G[S])|=3(|S \cap A|+|S \cap B|)-2|E(G[S])|$ and $k=\|S \cap A|-| S \cap B\|$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \equiv\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right| \quad(\bmod 2) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $X \in\{S, \bar{S}\}$, if $G[X]$ contains no circuit, then $|E(G[X])| \leq|X|-1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|=\left|\partial_{G}(X)\right| \geq 3|X|-2(|X|-1)=|X|+2 \geq 3 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, $\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right| \geq 6$, since $G$ is cyclically 6-edge-connected.

Let $S^{\prime}=\left(S \cap A^{\prime}\right) \cup\left(S \cap B^{\prime}\right)$ and let $k^{\prime}=\left|\left|S \cap A^{\prime}\right|-\left|S \cap B^{\prime}\right|\right|$. Then $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left|\sum_{v \in S^{\prime}} f^{\prime}(v)\right|=2 k^{\prime}$, since $f^{\prime}$ is a canonical balanced valuation on $G^{\prime}$ induced by the NWZ 4-flow $\varphi^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$.

If $\left|\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap \partial_{G}(S)\right|=0$, then either both of the two ends of $e_{i}(i=1,2)$ belong to $S$ or neither of them belongs to $S$. Hence $k^{\prime}=k$. So, $2 k \leq\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|<\frac{5}{3} k$, a contradiction.

If $\left|\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap \partial_{G}(S)\right|=1$, without loss of generality, assume $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap \partial_{G}(S)=\left\{e_{1}\right\}$ and $v_{1} \in S$, then $k^{\prime}=k-1$ or $k+1$. In the worst case, $2(k-1) \leq\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|-1<\frac{5}{3} k-1$, that is $k<3$. By (2), $3 \leq\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|<\frac{5}{3} k$. This implies that $k=2$ and $\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|=3$, a contradiction with Eq. (1).

If $\left|\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cap \partial_{G}(S)\right|=2$, then each of $e_{i}(i=1,2)$ has one end in $S$ and the another one in $\bar{S}$. Hence, $k-2 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k+2$. So, in the worst case, $2(k-2) \leq\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|-2<\frac{5}{3} k-2$, that is $k<6$. By (2), $3 \leq\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|<\frac{5}{3} k$. Hence the possible choices for $\left(k,\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|\right)$ are $(2,3),(3,4),(4,6)$ and $(5,8)$. By Eq. (1), $k$ must be 4 and $\left|\partial_{G}(S)\right|=6$.

Let $\partial_{G}(S)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right\}$ and let $f_{i}=x_{i} y_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$. By Claim 4, we may assume $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\} \subseteq S$. Let $\varphi$ be the 4 -flow on $G$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)=$ $E(G)-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, which is obtained from the (canonical) NWZ 4-flow $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$ on $G-$ $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Let $G^{\prime \prime}=G-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$.

Without loss of generality, assume $k=|S \cap A|-|S \cap B|$ (or we can choose the orientation with an opposite direction). Let $S^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime}$ be the same meaning as in the proof of Claim 4. Then $k^{\prime}=\left|S^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime}\right|-\left|S^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}\right|=2$ and $\partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right\}$.

Note that, for each circuit $C$ of the 2 -factor $c^{\prime-1}(1) \cup c^{\prime-1}(2),\left|E(C) \cap \partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right| \equiv 0$ $\bmod 2$ and $\left|V(C) \cap A^{\prime}\right|=\left|V(C) \cap B^{\prime}\right|$ by Lemma 2.6 (i). So, there are at most two circuits $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ (maybe $C_{1}=C_{2}$ ) of the 2-factor $c^{\prime-1}(1) \cup c^{\prime-1}(2)$ with the property that $\left|E\left(C_{i}\right) \cap \partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$ for $i=1,2$ and $\left|S^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime} \cap\left(V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)\right)\right|-\mid S^{\prime} \cap$ $B^{\prime} \cap\left(V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)\right) \mid=k^{\prime}=2$. That is two of $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}\right\}$ are colored with color 1 , say $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and the others are colored with color 2 , say $f_{3}, f_{4}$, and, moreover, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\} \in A^{\prime}$.

By Lemma 2.6 (ii), $f_{i}$ is oriented from $y_{i}$ to $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $\varphi^{\prime}\left(f_{1}\right)=$ $\varphi^{\prime}\left(f_{2}\right)=1$ by the construction of $\varphi^{\prime}$. Since $\varphi^{\prime}\left(f_{i}\right)=1$ or 3 for $i=3,4$, to guarantee that $\delta_{\varphi^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=0$, one of $\left\{f_{3}, f_{4}\right\}$, say $f_{3}$, is oriented from $y_{3}$ to $x_{3}$ with $\varphi^{\prime}\left(f_{3}\right)=1$, the other one, say $f_{4}$, is oriented from $x_{4}$ to $y_{4}$ with $\varphi^{\prime}\left(f_{4}\right)=3$.

Let
$L_{i}=\left\{z \in S \mid \quad\right.$ There is a directed path from $v_{i}$ to $z$ in $\left.D(G[S])\right\}, i=1,2$
and

$$
T_{2}=\left\{z \in \bar{S} \mid \quad \text { There is a directed path from } z \text { to } w_{2} \text { in } D(G[\bar{S}])\right\}
$$

Then $L_{i} \neq \emptyset$ since $v_{i} \in L_{i}$ for $i=1,2$, and $T_{2} \neq \emptyset$ since $w_{2} \in T_{2}$.
Claim 5 If $\left|L_{i}\right| \neq 2$, then $v_{3-i} \in L_{i}$, that is there is a directed path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{3-i}$ in $D(G[S])$ for $i=1,2$.

Proof We prove the case $i=2$; the case $i=1$ can be proved similarly.
Suppose to the contrary that $v_{1} \notin L_{2}$. Since $L_{2}$ has no outgoing edges in $D(G[S])$, $f_{4}$ is the only possible outgoing edge of $L_{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Hence $\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(L_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ or $\left\{f_{4}\right\}$. Since $G$ is bridgeless, $\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(L_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and so $\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(L_{2}\right)=\left\{f_{4}\right\}$.

Recall that $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$ is a NWZ 4-flow of $G^{\prime \prime}\left(=G-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right)$ induced by the canonical NWZ 4-flow $\varphi^{\prime}$ on $G^{\prime}$. Hence $\delta_{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}\left(L_{2}\right)=0$. Since $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{4}\right)=3$, $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(L_{2}\right) \leq 3$. It follows that

$$
\left|\partial_{G}\left(L_{2}\right)\right|=\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(L_{2}\right) \cup \partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(L_{2}\right) \cup\left\{e_{2}\right\}\right|=\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(L_{2}\right)\right|+2 \leq 5 .
$$

Since $\left|L_{2}\right| \neq 2,\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 3$.
If $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(L_{2}\right)\right| \leq 2$, then $\left|L_{2}\right|>\left|\partial_{G}\left(L_{2}\right)\right|-2$. Hence $G\left[L_{2}\right]$ contains circuits by Lemma 2.7.

If $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(L_{2}\right)\right|=3$, then each edge of $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(L_{2}\right)$ has flow value 1 since the only possible outgoing edge $f_{4}$ has flow value 3 . We claim that $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 4$. Suppose to the contrary that $\left|L_{2}\right|=3$. Let $L_{2}=\left\{x_{4}, v_{2}, u\right\}$. Then $\left|E\left(G\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(3\left|L_{2}\right|-\left|\partial_{G}\left(L_{2}\right)\right|\right)=2$ since $\partial_{G}\left(L_{2}\right)$ has five edges. Hence, by the definition of $L_{2}, D\left(G\left[L_{2}\right]\right)$ is a directed path starting at $v_{2}$ and ending at $x_{4}$ or $u$. This implies that there is an ingoing edge of $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}\left(L_{2}\right)$ incident with $v_{2}$. But this ingoing edge has flow value 2 by the construction of the NWZ 4-flow $\varphi^{\prime \prime}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 4$. Again by Lemma 2.7, $G\left[L_{2}\right]$ contains circuits.

Note that the circuit $C_{x}$ is a directed circuit in $G^{\prime \prime}$ for $x \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then at most one of $C_{v_{1}}, C_{v_{2}}, C_{w_{2}}$ intersects with $\partial_{G}(S)$. Since $v_{1} \notin L_{2}$ and $w_{2} \notin L_{2}$, at least one of the circuits $C_{v_{1}}, C_{w_{2}}$ is contained in $G\left[\overline{L_{2}}\right]$. It follows that $\partial_{G}\left(L_{2}\right)$ is a cyclic edge-cut with $\left|\partial_{G}\left(L_{2}\right)\right| \leq 5$, a contradiction with $n_{G}^{*}=6$.

With a similar discussion, we have the following analogous claim.
Claim 6 If $\left|T_{2}\right| \neq 2$, then $w_{1} \in T_{2}$, that is there is a directed path from $w_{1}$ to $w_{2}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$.

If $\left|L_{2}\right| \neq 2$ and $\left|T_{2}\right| \neq 2$, then, by Claim 5, there is a directed path $P_{1}$ from $v_{2}$ to $v_{1}$ in $D(G[S])$, and, by Claim 6, there is a directed path $P_{2}$ from $w_{1}$ to $w_{2}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$. Orient the edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ appropriately such that the circuit $C$ with $E(C)=E\left(P_{1}\right) \cup E\left(P_{2}\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is a directed circuit. Let $\varphi_{2}$ be a 2 -flow on $G$ with $\varphi_{2}(e)=1$, if $e \in E(C)$, and $\varphi_{2}(e)=0$, otherwise. Then $\varphi+\varphi_{2}$ is a NWZ 5-flow on $G$, a contradiction with our assumption that $G$ has no NWZ 5-flow.

Now, assume $\left|L_{2}\right|=2$ or $\left|T_{2}\right|=2$.
Claim 7 (1) If $\left|T_{2}\right|=2$, then $f_{4} \in E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right), T_{2}=\left\{y_{4}, w_{2}\right\}$ and there is a directed path from $y_{4}$ to $w_{1}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$.
(2) If $\left|L_{2}\right|=2$, then $f_{4} \in E\left(C_{v_{2}}\right)$ and $L_{2}=\left\{x_{4}, v_{2}\right\}$.

Proof (1) Since $f_{4}$ is the only ingoing edge of $T_{2}$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$, then $y_{4} \in T_{2}$ and $T_{2}=$ $\left\{w_{2}, y_{4}\right\}$. If $f_{4} \notin E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right)$, then $V\left(C_{w_{2}}\right) \in T_{2}$ (note that $C_{w_{2}}$ is a directed circuit in $\left.G^{\prime \prime}\right)$, a contradiction with $\left|T_{2}\right|=2$. Hence $f_{4} \in E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right)$.

Let

$$
M_{2}=\left\{z \in \bar{S} \mid \quad \text { there is a directed path from } z \text { to } w_{1} \text { in } D(G[\bar{S}])\right\} .
$$

If $y_{4} \notin M_{2}$, then $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(M_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ since $f_{4}$ is the only possible ingoing edge of $M_{2}$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}\left(M_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ and so $\partial_{G}\left(M_{2}\right)=\left\{e_{1}\right\}$, a contradiction with $G$ being bridgeless. Hence $y_{4} \in M_{2}$, i.e. there is a directed path from $y_{4}$ to $w_{1}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$. (2) It can be proved similarly to the statement (1).

If $\left|T_{2}\right|=2$, by Claim $7(1), f_{4} \in E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right), T_{2}=\left\{y_{4}, w_{2}\right\}$ and there is a directed path, say $P\left(y_{4}, w_{1}\right)$, from $y_{4}$ to $w_{1}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$. Since $f_{4} \in E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right), f_{4} \notin E\left(C_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $f_{4} \notin E\left(C_{v_{2}}\right)$. Hence $V\left(C_{v_{i}}\right) \subseteq S$ for $i=1,2$. So, $\left|L_{i}\right| \geq 3, i=1,2$. By Claim 5, there is a directed path, say $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $D(G[S])$. Orient the edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ appropriately such that the circuit $C$ with $E(C)=E\left(P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right) \cup$ $E\left(P\left(y_{4}, w_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{y_{4} w_{2}\right\}$ is a nearly directed circuit (the edge $y_{4} w_{2}$ is the only possible edge having a reverse direction and $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{4} w_{2}\right)=2, y_{4} w_{2}$ maybe not in $C$ if $w_{2} \in V\left(P\left(y_{4}, w_{1}\right)\right)$ ). Let $\varphi_{2}$ be a 2-flow on $G$ with $\varphi_{2}(e)=1$, if $e \in E(C)$, and $\varphi_{2}(e)=0$, otherwise. Then $\varphi+\varphi_{2}$ is a NWZ 5-flow on $G$ (note that $\left(\varphi+\varphi_{2}\right)\left(y_{4} w_{2}\right)=1$ if $y_{4} w_{2} \in E(C)$ ), a contradiction with our assumption that $G$ has no NWZ 5-flow.

If $\left|L_{2}\right|=2$, by Claim $7(2), f_{4} \in E\left(C_{v_{2}}\right)$. Hence $f_{4} \notin E\left(C_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $f_{4} \notin E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right)$. So $V\left(C_{v_{1}}\right) \subseteq L_{1}$ and $V\left(C_{w_{2}}\right) \subseteq T_{2}$. This implies that $\left|L_{1}\right| \geq 3$ and $\left|T_{2}\right| \geq 3$. By Claim 5, there is a directed path, say $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, from $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in $D(G[S])$ and, by Claim 6, there is a directed path, say $P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$, from $w_{1}$ to $w_{2}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$.

Let

$$
M_{1}=\left\{z \in S \mid \text { there is a directed path from } z \text { to } v_{1} \text { in } D(G[S])\right\}
$$

and

$$
M_{3}=\left\{z \in \bar{S} \mid \quad \text { there is a directed path from } w_{2} \text { to } z \text { in } D(G[\bar{S}])\right\} .
$$

If $v_{2} \in M_{1}$ or $w_{1} \in M_{3}$, then we can get a directed circuit $C$ with $E(C)=$ $E\left(P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right) \cup E\left(P\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ or $E\left(P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right) \cup E\left(P\left(w_{2}, w_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ by orienting $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ appropriately, where $P\left(v_{2}, v_{1}\right)$ is a directed path from $v_{2}$ to $v_{1}$ in $D(G[S])$ and $P\left(w_{2}, w_{1}\right)$ is a directed path from $w_{2}$ to $w_{1}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}])$. Hence we can define a 2-flow $\varphi_{2}$ on $G$ with $\varphi_{2}(e)=1$, if $e \in E(C)$, and $\varphi_{2}(e)=0$, otherwise, such that $\varphi+\varphi_{2}$ is a NWZ 5-flow on $G$, again a contradiction with our assumption that $G$ has no NWZ 5-flow.

Now assume $v_{2} \notin M_{1}$ and $w_{1} \notin M_{3}$. Since $M_{1}$ has no ingoing edges in $D(G[S])$, $\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$.

If $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}\right)\right| \leq 2$, then $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(M_{1}\right)\right| \leq\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}\right)\right| \leq 2$ since $\varphi^{\prime}\left(f_{i}\right)=1$ for $i=$ $1,2,3$. Hence $\left|\partial_{G}\left(M_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\partial_{G^{\prime}}\left(M_{1}\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}\right\}\right| \leq 5$. Since $f_{4} \notin E\left(C_{v_{1}}\right)$ and $f_{4} \notin$ $E\left(C_{w_{2}}\right), V\left(C_{v_{1}}\right) \subseteq M_{1}$ and $V\left(C_{w_{2}}\right) \subseteq \overline{M_{1}}$. Hence $\partial_{G}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a cyclic edge-cut of $G$, a contradiction with $n_{G}^{*}=6$. Therefore, $\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}\right)=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$. With a similar discussion, we can show that $\partial_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(M_{3}\right)=\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$ too.
$S$
$\bar{S}$


Fig. 3 The graph $G^{\prime \prime}$ in Claim 8

Let $M_{1}^{\prime}=S-M_{1}$. Then $L_{2} \subseteq M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{f_{4}\right\}$. Hence $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 3$ since $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{4}\right)=3$. Let $M_{3}^{\prime}=\bar{S}-M_{3}$. Since $M_{2} \subseteq M_{3}^{\prime}, y_{4} \in M_{3}^{\prime}$ and there is a directed path from $y_{4}$ to $w_{1}$ in $D\left(G\left[M_{3}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ by Claim 7 .

If $\left|M_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq 4$, by Lemma 2.7, $G\left[M_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ contains circuit. But $\left|\partial_{G}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\mid \partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup$ $\left\{e_{2}\right\} \mid \leq 5$, a contradiction with $n_{G}^{*}=6$.

If $\left|M_{1}^{\prime}\right|=2$, then $M_{1}^{\prime}=L_{2}=\left\{x_{4}, v_{2}\right\}$. Note that $v_{2}$ is a bivalent vertex in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Let $u$ be another neighbor of $v_{2}$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Then $u v_{2}$ is an ingoing edge of $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u v_{2}\right)=2$. Hence $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$ since $\delta_{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0$. Let $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{t_{1} x_{4}, u v_{2}\right\}$. Then $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1} x_{4}\right)=1$. Since $u \in M_{1}$, there is a directed path $P\left(u, v_{1}\right)$ from $u$ to $v_{1}$ in $D(G[S])$. Orient the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$ appropriately, we can get a nearly directed circuit $C$ with $E(C)=E\left(P\left(u, v_{1}\right)\right) \cup E\left(P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{u v_{2}\right\}$ (the edge $u v_{2}$ has a reverse direction and $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u v_{2}\right)=2$ ). Hence we can define a 2 -flow $\varphi_{2}$ on $G$ with $\varphi_{2}(e)=1$ if $e \in E(C)$, and $\varphi_{2}(e)=0$, otherwise, such that $\varphi+\varphi_{2}$ is a NWZ 5-flow on $G$, again a contradiction with our assumption that $G$ has no NWZ 5-flow.

Now assume $\left|M_{1}^{\prime}\right|=3$. Let $M_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{4}, v_{2}, u\right\}$. Then $M_{1}^{\prime}$ must be a directed path from $u$ to $x_{4}$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{f_{4}\right\}$ and $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{4}\right)=3, \partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ contains precisely three ingoing edges with flow value 1 . Let $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{t_{1} x_{4}, t_{2} u, t_{3} u\right\}$ and assume $t_{3} u \in E\left(C_{v_{2}}\right)$.

Let $P$ be the strong connected component in $D\left(G\left[M_{1}\right]\right)$ containing $v_{1}$. Then $C_{v_{1}} \subseteq$ $P$ and $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}(P) \subseteq\left\{t_{1} x_{4}, t_{2} u, t_{3} u\right\}$. If $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}(P)\right| \leq 2$, then $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}(P)\right| \leq 2$. Hence $\partial_{G}(P)$ is a cyclic edge-cut of $G$ with at most five edges, a contradiction with $n_{G}^{*}=6$. Hence $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}(P)=\left\{t_{1} x_{4}, t_{2} u, t_{3} u\right\}$ with $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in V(P)$ (see Fig. 3).

Claim 8 (1) $f_{3} \in E\left(C_{v_{2}}\right)$.
(2) There are two vertex-disjoint directed paths from $\left\{v_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ to $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$ in $D\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Moreover, the two vertex-disjoint directed paths have pairwise different ends.

Proof (1) It follows directly from the structure of $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}(S)\left(C_{v_{2}}\right.$ is a directed circuit in $G^{\prime \prime}$ with edges of colors 2 and $3, f_{3}, f_{4}$ are the only two edges with color 2 in $\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}(S)$ and $\left.f_{4} \in E\left(C_{v_{2}}\right)\right)$.
(2) Suppose to the contrary that there is no two such directed paths in $D\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.8, there is a vertex $y$ such that each directed path from $\left\{v_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ to $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$ passes through $y$. Since $P$ is a strong connected component of $D\left(G\left[M_{1}\right]\right)$, there is a directed path from $v_{1}$ to $t_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ in $P$. Hence $y \in V(P) \subseteq S$. We claim that $y \notin V\left(C_{v_{1}}\right)$. If not, then the directed path composed by the directed path from $w_{2}$ to $y_{3}$ in $D\left(G\left[M_{3}\right]\right)$, and the segment of $C_{v_{2}}$ from $y_{3}$ to $t_{3}$ in $D(G[S])$ does not pass through $y$, a contradiction.
Let $Q \subseteq V(P)$ be the set of vertices such that all the directed paths from $v_{1}$ to $v \in Q$ do not pass through $y$. Since $y$ is a vertex of degree 3 , the vertex $y$ has only one ingoing edge or only one outgoing edge. If $y$ has only one ingoing edge, let $Q^{\prime}=Q \backslash\{y\}$, then $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$. If $y$ has only one outgoing edge, let $Q^{\prime}=$ $Q$, then $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{+}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right|=1$. Hence, in either case, we have $\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 3$. Therefore, $\left|\partial_{G}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\partial_{G^{\prime \prime}}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}\right\}\right| \leq 5$. Since $y \notin V\left(C_{v_{1}}\right), V\left(C_{v_{1}}\right) \subseteq Q^{\prime}$. Since $C_{w_{2}} \in \bar{S}$, $V\left(C_{w_{2}}\right) \subseteq \overline{Q^{\prime}}$. Hence $\partial_{G}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ is a cyclic edge-cut with $\left|\partial_{G}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 5$, a contradiction with $n_{G}^{*} \geq 6$.

The two vertex-disjoint directed paths have pairwise different ends follows directly from the fact that $v_{1}, w_{2}$ have out-degree 1 and each $t_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ has in-degree 1 (since $t_{i} \in P$ ) in $G^{\prime \prime}$.

By Claim 8, we have two vertex-disjoint directed paths, one from $v_{1}$ to $t_{i}$, say $P\left(v_{1}, t_{i}\right)$, another one from $w_{2}$ to $t_{j}(i \neq j)$, say $P\left(w_{2}, t_{j}\right), i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$. By Claim 7, there is a directed path from $y_{4}$ to $w_{1}$, say $P\left(y_{4}, w_{1}\right)$ in $D\left(G\left[M_{3}^{\prime}\right]\right)$. Let $P\left(t_{i}, x_{4}\right)$ be the directed path in $D(G[S])$ from $t_{i}$ to $x_{4}\left(P\left(t_{i}, x_{4}\right)=t_{i} x_{4}\right.$ if $i=1$, or $t_{i} u v_{2} x_{4}$ if $\left.i=2,3\right)$. Then $C_{1}$ with $E\left(C_{1}\right)=E\left(P\left(v_{1}, t_{i}\right)\right) \cup E\left(P\left(t_{i}, x_{4}\right)\right) \cup\left\{f_{4}\right\} \cup E\left(P\left(y_{4}, w_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}\right\}$ is a directed circuit in $G$ by orienting $e_{1}$ from $w_{1}$ to $v_{1}$. Let $\varphi_{1}$ be a 2 -flow on $G$ with $\varphi_{1}(e)=1$ if $e \in E\left(C_{1}\right)$, and $\varphi_{1}(e)=0$, otherwise.

Let $P\left(t_{j}, v_{2}\right)=t_{j} x_{4} v_{2}$ if $j=1$, or $t_{j} u v_{2}$ if $j=2,3$. Then $C_{2}$ with $E\left(C_{2}\right)=$ $E\left(P\left(w_{2}, t_{j}\right)\right) \cup E\left(P\left(t_{j}, v_{2}\right)\right) \cup\left\{e_{2}\right\}$ is a nearly directed circuit in $G$ by orienting $e_{2}$ from $v_{2}$ to $w_{2}\left(x_{4} v_{2}\right.$ is the only possible edge with reverse direction on $\left.C_{2}\right)$. Let $\varphi_{2}$ be a 2-flow on $G$ with $\varphi_{2}(e)=1$ if $e \in E\left(C_{2}\right)$, and $\varphi_{2}(e)=0$, otherwise.

Since there is no directed path from $w_{2}$ to $w_{1}$ in $D(G[\bar{S}]), P\left(y_{4}, w_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(w_{2}, t_{j}\right)$ are vertex-disjoint in $\bar{S}$. Hence $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ have at most one common edge $u v_{2}$. Note that $\varphi\left(u v_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(v_{2} x_{4}\right)=2$. Hence $\varphi+\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}$ is a NWZ 5-flow on $G$, a contradiction with the assumption that $G$ has no NWZ 5-flow.

## References

1. Bondy, J.A.: Balanced colourings and graph orientation. Congr. Numerantium 14, 109-114 (1975)
2. Crapo, H.: The Tutte polynomial. Aequationes Math. 3, 211-229 (1969)
3. Hou, X., Lai, H.-J., Zhang, C.-Q.: On perfect matching coverings and even subgraph coverings
4. Jaeger, F.: Balanced valuations and flows in multigraphs. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 55, 237-242 (1976)
5. Jaeger, F.: On nowhere-zero flows in multigraphs. In: St. Nash-Williams, J.A., Sheehan, J. (ed.) Proceedings of Fifth British Combinatorial Conference, Congressus Numerantium XV, pp. 373-378. Utilitas Math., Winnipeg (1976)
6. Jaeger, F.: Flows and generalized coloring theorems in graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 26, 205-216 (1979)
7. Jaeger, F.: Nowhere-zero flow problems. In: Beineke, L.W., Wilson, R.J. (eds.) Selected Topics in Graph Theory 3, pp. 71-95. Academic Press, London (1988)
8. Kilpatrick, P.A.: Tutte's first colour-cycle conjecture. Ph.D. Thesis, Cape Town (1975)
9. Kochol, M.: Reduction of the 5-flow conjecture to cyclically 6 -edge connected snarks. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 90, 139-145 (2004)
10. Seymour, P.D.: Nowhere-zero 6-flows. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 30, 130-135 (1981)
11. Seymour, P.D.: Nowhere-zero flows. In: Graham, R.L., Grötschel, M., Lovász, L. (eds.) Handbook of Combinatorics (vol. 1), pp. 289-299. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1995)
12. Steffen, E.: Tutte's 5-flow conjecture for highly cyclically connected cubic graphs. Discrete Math. 310, 385-389 (2010)
13. Steffen, E.: Intersecting 1-factors and nowhere-zero 5-flows. Submitted 1306.5645 (2013)
14. Tutte, W.T.: A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials. Can. J. Math. 6, 80-91 (1954)

[^0]:    The work was supported by the NNSF of China (No. 11271348) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

