5, 2012, 2, 145-148. wear Cordial anaj, some Results on H h Vol. 4. no. 1; February raluable remarks.

A note on broom number and maximum edge-cut of graphs \*

Zhen-Mu Hong<sup>a†</sup>, Xinmin Hou<sup>b</sup>, Jiaao Li<sup>c</sup>, Yang Yang<sup>b</sup> "School of Finance, Anhui University of Finance and Economics. Bengbu 233030, China.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, U.S.A.

Abstract: A model for cleaning a graph with brushes was first introduced by Messinger, Nowakowski, and Pralat in 2008. Later, they focused on the problem of determining the maximum number of brushes needed to clean graph. This maximum number of brushes needed to clean a graph in the model is called the broom number of the graph. In this paper, we show that the broom number of a graph is equal to the size of a maximum edge-cut of the graph, and prove the NP-completeness of the problem of determining the broom number of a graph. As an application, we determine the broom number exactly for the Cartesian product of two graphs.

Keywords: Broom number; Maximum edge-cut; Cleaning sequence; Cartesian product

## Introduction

The cleaning model of decontaminating a graph with brushes was first pro-Posed by Messinger, Nowakowski, and Prałat [4]. For any graph, suppose that all the vertices and edges are dirty. Each vertex has a number of brushes assigned to it and we try to clean the graph vertex by vertex. A Vertex can be cleaned if it has at least as many brushes as dirty incident edges. When a vertex is cleaned, it sends exactly one brush along each edge

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: zmhong@mail.ustc.edu.cn

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the University Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Province (No. KJ2016A003), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271010) (No. 11271348), and the Scientific Research Project of AUFE (No. ACKY1532).

edges could be regarded as being deleted from the and its incomplete the state of t and he regarded as being deleted from the grant inside an initial configuration of brushes and a sequence graph. We will result in every vertex and its in an initial connguration of cleaned in that order, will result in every vertex of vertices were and its incident that a dirty vertex may have no dirty; cleaned in that order, and its incident ing cleaned. Note that a dirty vertex may have no dirty incident incident in the cleaned. Such a sequence of vertice incident ing cleaned. Note that a sequence of our incident it still needs to be cleaned. Such a sequence of vertices in calls of the focus of [1, 3, 6, 7] is, for a graph G is calls it still needs to be cleaned.

ing sequence. The focus of [1, 3, 6, 7] is, for a graph G, to described to clean the graph. ing sequence. The locus of G, to describe the graph G, to describe the graph, called

nber and denoted b(G).

In this paper, we focus on the broom number, B(G), for any the maximum number of brushes that can be used to death to the desired to the desir In this paper, we locus on that is, the maximum number of brushes that can be used to clean at least on the cl graph G with the rule that every brush has to clean at least one and the state of the clean at least one adjusted to clean a graph G with the rule that the broom number was introduced by Messinger, Nowakowski, and Property of graphs in the control of along with general bounds and results for some classes of graphs, and later Pralat [7] considered the house along with general boundaries of graphs, included a considered the broom manufacture of graphs, included a considered the broom manufacture of graphs.

The following notations are used in the remainder of the paper  $d_G(v)$ , is the number of edges incident with v. For a subset  $X \subset V(G)$  was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.3) and [3] (see Theorem 2.4) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.5) and [5] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) and V : V(G) = V(G) was indicated in [4] (see Theorem 2.6) and V : V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) and V(G) = V(G) are V(G) = V(G) and be an undirected graph and  $d_G(v)$ , is the number of edges incident with v. For a subset  $X \subset V(G)$  is the subgraph of G induced by X. For two disjoint set G[X] to denote the subgraph of G induced by X. For two disjoint subsets G[X] to denote the set of edges between Xand Y in V(G), as DG[x], and  $x \in V(D)$ . The out-degree (resp. in-degree) of out-side G. Let D be a digraph and  $x \in V(D)$ . The out-degree (resp. in-degree) of out-side G. x in D, denoted by  $d_D^+(x)$  (resp.  $d_D^-(x)$ ), is the number of out-going |x|in-going) edges of x in D. For two disjoint subsets X and Y in V(D) to notation  $E_D(X,Y)$  denotes the set of directed edges of D whose tails  $E_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X,Y) = E_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X,Y) \cup E_{\mathbb{R}^n}(X,Y)$ X and heads are in Y, and  $E_D[X,Y] = E_D(X,Y) \cup E_D(Y,X)$ . Using the notations, we present another equivalent definition of the broom number

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a finite simple undirected connected graph in n vertices and all the vertices and edges of G are initially dirty. We sake the vertices of G as a sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Then the oriented graph  $G_{\alpha}$  of G is given with respect to  $\alpha$  in the following way:

 $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in E(G_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \alpha_i \alpha_j \in E(G) \text{ and } i < j \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ 

Define  $w_{\alpha}: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  by

$$w_{\alpha}(v) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} d^+_{G_{\alpha}}(v) - d^-_{G_{\alpha}}(v), & \text{if } d^+_{G_{\alpha}}(v) > d^-_{G_{\alpha}}(v), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$$

then G can be cleaned along the sequence  $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n)$ . We call sales  $S\subset V$  such that  $|E_G[S,\overline{S}]|\geq k$  if and only if there is a cleaning  $\alpha$  a cleaning sequence of G. The brush number of the cleaning sequence  $\alpha$  of G such that  $b_{\alpha}(G) \geq k$ .  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $b_{\alpha}(G)$ , is the sum of brushes put on V(G), that is  $b_{\alpha}(G) = 0$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{\alpha}(\alpha_i)$ .

Refinition 1.1 ([5]) The broom number of a given graph G, denoted by G(G), is

 $\mathcal{B}(G) = \max\{b_{\alpha}(G) : \alpha \text{ is a cleaning sequence of } G\}.$ 

Note that, in the model, a cleaning sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  cords to an oriented graph  $G_\alpha$  of G. Let  $S = I_{11} \subseteq V(G)$ Note that, in the model, a cleaning sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  corpored to an oriented graph  $G_\alpha$  of G. Let  $S = \{v \in V(G) : d^+_{G_\alpha}(v) > g_{\alpha}(v)\}$ . Since  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d^+_{G_\alpha}(v) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d^-_{G_\alpha}(v)$ , it is now to Gspends to  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G_{\alpha}}^+(v) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G_{\alpha}}^-(v)$ , it is easy to find that

$$\int_{\text{nds to an office}}^{\text{ote to an office}} \int_{v \in V(G)} d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) = \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus S} \left( d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) \right),$$

$$\sum_{v \in S} \left( d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v) \right) = \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus S} \left( d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) \right),$$

$$\sum_{v \in S} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)) = \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus S} \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus S} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} |d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)|.$$
 (1)
$$b_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{v \in S} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} |d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)|.$$
 (1)

In section 2, we obtain the main result of the paper, that is, the broom In section, the problem to determine the beautiful paper, that is, the broom, there show that the problem to determine the beautiful paper, that is, the broom, the show that the problem to determine the beautiful paper, that is, the broom, the show that the problem to determine the beautiful paper. of further show that the problem to determine the broom number of a psphis NP-complete. In Section 3, we give some applications based on the pin results mentioned in Section 2, including the broom number for the istesian product of two graphs, and exact values of the broom numbers is the Cartesian products of paths, cycles, and cliques.

## Main results

is edge-cut of a graph G is the set of edges between a subset S of V(G)mi its complement  $\overline{S}$ . A maximum edge-cut of G is an edge-cut with he maximum number of edges. Let maxcut(G) denote the cardinality of a maximum edge-cut of G. To get the main result, we first give a lemma that sticulates the relationship between the edge-cut and the broom number fcleaning sequence, which plays an important role in coping with broom umber problems.

Lemma 2.1 For any graph G = (V, E) and any positive integer k, there

Proof. First, suppose that  $\alpha$  is a cleaning sequence of G with  $b_{\alpha}(G) \geq k$ . Denoted by  $G_{\alpha}$  the oriented graph of G with respect to  $\alpha$ . Let  $S = \{v \in$ 

$$V: d^+_{G_\alpha}(v) > d^-_{G_\alpha}(v) \} \text{ and } \overline{S} = V \setminus S. \text{ By equality } (1), \text{ We have }$$

$$= \sum_{v \in S} \left( d^+_{G_\alpha}(v) - d^-_{G_\alpha}(v) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{v \in S} \left( d^+_{G_\alpha[S]}(v) - d^-_{G_\alpha[S]}(v) \right) + |E_{G_\alpha}(S, \overline{S})| - |E_{G_\alpha}(S, \overline{S})| -$$

that is  $|E_G[S,\overline{S}]| \ge b_{\alpha}(G) \ge k$ .

t is  $|E_G[S,\overline{S}]| \ge b_{\alpha}(G) \ge k$ .

Conversely, suppose that S is a subset of V with  $|E_G[S,\overline{S}]| \ge k$ .

Sequence  $\alpha = (v_1,\ldots,v_s,v_{s+1},\ldots,v_{|V|})$  of G, with  $(v_1,\ldots,v_s)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\alpha = (v_1, \dots, v_s, v_{s+1}, \dots, v_{|V|})$  of G, with  $(v_1, \dots, v_s)$  being arbitrary orderings of vertices in Ga cleaning sequence  $\alpha$  (1), and  $(v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_{|V|})$  being arbitrary orderings of vertices in S and  $\delta$ .

Spectively. By equality (1), we have
$$b_{\alpha}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} |d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in S} |d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{v \in S} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v))| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \overline{S}} |d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v)|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{v \in S} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v))| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{v \in \overline{S}} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v))| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{v \in \overline{S}} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}(v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}(v))| + \left| E_{G_{\alpha}}(S, \overline{S})| \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{v \in S} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}[S](v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}[S](v)) + \left| E_{G_{\alpha}}(S, \overline{S})| \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{v \in \overline{S}} (d_{G_{\alpha}}^{+}[S](v) - d_{G_{\alpha}}^{-}[S](v)) - \left| E_{G_{\alpha}}(S, \overline{S})| \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} |E_{G_{\alpha}}(S, \overline{S})| + \frac{1}{2} |E_{G_{\alpha}}(S, \overline{S})|$$

$$= |E_{G_{\alpha}}(S, \overline{S})| = |E_{G}[S, \overline{S}]|,$$

that is  $b_{\alpha}(G) \geq |E_G[S, \overline{S}]| \geq k$ .

In [5], it was observed that  $B(G) \geq \max(G)$ . According to Lemma 2.1, Definition 1.1, and  $\max(G) = \max\{|E_G[S,\overline{S}]| : S \in V(G)\}$ , it is clear to see that  $B(G) = \max(G)$ . Thus, to determine the broom number of a graph, it suffices to find the maximum edge-cut of the graph

Theorem 2.2 For any graph G, the broom number of G equals to the size of a maximum edge-cut of G, that is

$$B(G) = \max(G)$$
.

Moreover, any cleaning sequence  $\alpha$  with  $b_{\alpha}(G) = B(G)$  induces a maximum edge-cut of G, and any maximum edge-cut of G gives a cleaning sequence  $\alpha$  with  $b_{\alpha}(G) = B(G)$ .

Next, we will show the problem of determining the broom number of his NP-complete. In [2], the following problem which Next, we will show the problem of determining the broom number of a called is NP-complete. In [2], the following problem, which is called the problem of the problem of the broom number of the broom number of the problem of the broom number of the Johnson, and Stockmeyer.

where G is there a set  $S \subset V$  such that G

where  $S = \{V, E\}$ , positive integer K.

Solve that  $|E_G[S, \overline{S}]| \geq K$ ? In the following, we present the decision problem BROOM CLEANING In the following, the determining the broom broom of the difficulty in determining the broom much of transform the difficulty in determining the broom number of a graph.

GROOM CLEANING (BC) Graph G = (V, E), positive integer J. where a cleaning sequence lpha of G such that  $b_{lpha}(G) \geq J$ ?

theorem 2.3 BROOM CLEANING is NP-complete.

proof. It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{BC} \in \mathcal{NP}$ , because a nondeterministic algothin need only guess a cleaning sequence and check in polynomial time whether the broom number of the cleaning sequence is no less than J. We transform SMC to BC. Let G = (V, E) and positive integer Kpostitute any instance of SMC. The corresponding instance of BC is wided by the graph G and the integer J=K. By Lemma 2.1, there set  $S \subset V$  such that  $|E_G[S,\overline{S}]| \geq K$  if and only if there is a cleaning equence lpha of G such that  $b_lpha(G) \geq K$ . The result follows from the  $\mathcal{NP}$ completeness of SMC.

## Applications

subgraph H of a graph G is called a maximum bipartite subgraph of Gif H has a maximum number of edges among all bipartite subgraphs if G. Obviously, the subgraph induced by a maximum edge-cut of G is a maximum bipartite subgraph of G, and the edge-set of a maximum bipartite  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  graph of G is also a maximum edge-cut of G. Therefore, the problem finding a maximum bipartite subgraph is essentially the same as the moblem of finding a maximum edge-cut.

The Cartesian product of graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , written as  $G_1 \square G_2$ , is the gaph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  where  $(u_1, u_2)$  is adjacent to  $(v_1, v_2)$  if and only if either  $u_1=v_1$  and  $u_2v_2\in E(G_2)$  or  $u_2=v_2$  and  $u_1v_1\in E(G_1)$ . for Cartesian product graph, its broom number can be expressed in terms of the broom numbers of factor graphs.

Theorem 3.1 Let G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub> be two graphs. Then

 $B(G_1 \square G_2) = |V(G_2)|B(G_1) + |V(G_1)|B(G_2)$ Proof. Let  $H_i$  be a maximum bipartite subgraph of  $G_i$  and  $E(H_i)$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G_i$  and  $E(H_i)$  is a maximum subgraph of  $G_i$  and  $G_i$  for each  $G_i$  and  $G_i$  are  $G_i$  are  $G_i$  are  $G_i$  and  $G_i$  are  $G_i$  are Proof. Let  $H_i$  be a maximum operative subgraph of  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  is a spanning subgraph of  $H_i$  and  $H_i$  is a spanning subgraph of  $H_i$  and  $H_i$  is a spanning subgraph of  $H_i$  is a maximum of them are himself. Then  $H_i$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G_i$  and  $E(H_i)$  is a maximum of  $G_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since the Cartesian product of them are bipartite, it is easy to know that the subgraph of  $G_i$ . The subgraph of  $G_i$  is easy to know the subgraph of  $G_i$  in the subgraph of  $G_i$  is a subgraph of  $G_i$  in the subgraph of  $G_i$  in the subgraph of  $G_i$  is a subgraph of  $G_i$  in the subgraph of  $G_i$  is a subgraph of  $G_i$  in the subgraph o of  $G_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since the Cartesian product of bipartite if and only if both of them are bipartite, it is easy to graph of  $G_1 \square G_2$  with  $|E|_{H_1}$ bipartite if and only if both of them are operative, it is easy to have  $H_1 \square H_2$  is a maximum bipartite subgraph of  $G_1 \square G_2$  with  $E(H_1 \square H_2)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, we have  $H_1 \square H_2$  is a maximum  $H_1 \square H_2$  is a maximum  $H_2 \square H_3$  is a maximum  $H_3 \square H_4$  is a maximum  $H_4 \square H_4$  in  $H_4 \square H_4$ 

 $B(G_1 \square G_2) = \max_{\mathbf{Cut}(G_1 \square G_2)} = |E(H_1 \square H_2)|$  $= |V(H_2)||E(H_1)| + |V(H_1)||E(H_2)|$  $= |V(G_2)| \max_{\mathbf{G}_1} |V(G_1)| \max_{\mathbf{G}_2} |V(G_2)|$  $= |V(G_2)|B(G_1) + |V(G_1)|B(G_2).$ 

By Theorem 2.2, it is easy to get  $B(P_n) = \max_{x \in L(C_{2k+1})} C(C_{2k+1}) = \min_{x \in L(C_{2k+1})} C(C_{2k+1$ By Theorem 2.2, 10 is easy  $B(C_{2k}) = \max_{maxcut(C_{2k+1}) = maxcut(C_{2k+1}) = maxcut($  $B(C_{2k}) = \max_{K_n} \left( \frac{C_{2k}}{4} \right)$  (also see Theorem 3.6 in [5]). Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2 For any positive integers m and n, we have

$$B(P_m \square P_n) = 2mn - (m+n),$$

$$B(P_m \square C_n) = \begin{cases} 2mn - n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2mn - n - m, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$B(C_m \square C_n) = \begin{cases} 2mn, & \text{both of } m, n \text{ are even,} \\ 2mn - m - n, & \text{both of } m, n \text{ are even,} \end{cases}$$

$$2mn - m, & \text{both of } m, n \text{ are odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$B(C_m \square K_n) = \begin{cases} nm + m \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ n(m-1) + m \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$B(K_m \square K_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{mn}{4}(m+n), & \text{both of } m, n \text{ are even,} \\ n\frac{m^2}{4} + m \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor, & \text{n is odd and } m \text{ is even,} \\ n \lfloor \frac{m^2}{4} \rfloor + m \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor, & \text{n is odd and } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.2 gives the exact value of  $B(K_m \square K_n)$ , which also improve the result of Theorem 5.2 in [5].

## References

[1] N. Alon, P. Prałat, and N. Wormald, Cleaning regular graphs with brushes, SIAM J. Discrete Math, 23 (2009), 233-250.

M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, L. Stockmeyer, Some simplified NP-complete on problems, Theoretical Computer Science, 1 (1976), 237-267 M. R. Garey, D. Theoretical Computer Science, 1 (1976), 237-267.

S Gaspers, M. E. Messinger, R. J. Nowakowski, P. Pralat, Clean the graph of the gra S Gaspers, M. J. Information Processing Letters, 109 (2009), 463-467.

M. E. Messinger, R. J. Nowakowski, P. Pralat, Cleaning a network with M. E. Messing a Computer Science, 399 (2008), 191-205.

M. E. Messinger, R. J. Nowakowski, P. Prałat, Cleaning with brooms, Graph and Combinatorics, 27 (2011), 251-267.

M. E. Messinger, R. J. Nowakowski, P. Pralat, and N. Wormald, Cleaning M. E. Messing and M. Wormald, Cleaning and M. Wormald, Cleaning and direction of the 4th Workshop on Combinatorial and M. Wormald, Cleaning and Market of the 4th Workshop on Combinatorial and M. Wormald, Cleaning proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Combinatorial and Algorithmic Aspects of Networking, CAAN 2007, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4852, Springer, 2007, pp. 13-26.

P. Pralat, Cleaning random d-regular graphs with brooms, Graphs and Combinatorics, 27 (2011), 567-584.